

prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

APPENDIX: EXPOSURE SCENARIOS

The current document includes all relevant occupational and environmental exposure scenarios (ES) for the production and use of calcium oxide as required under the REACH Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006). For the development of the ES the Regulation and the relevant REACH Guidance have been considered. For the description of the covered uses and processes, the "R.12 – Use descriptor system" guidance (Version: 2, March 2010, ECHA-2010-G-05-EN), for the description and implementation of risk management measures (RMM) the "R.13 – Risk management measures" guidance (Version: 1.1, May 2008), for the occupational exposure estimation the "R.14 – Occupational exposure estimation" guidance (Version: 2, May 2010, ECHA-2010-G-09-EN) and for the actual environmental exposure assessment the "R.16 – Environmental Exposure Assessment" (Version: 2, May 2010, ECHA-10-G-06-EN) was used.

Methodology used for environmental exposure assessment

The environmental exposure scenarios only address the assessment at the local scale, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, for industrial and professional uses as any effects that might occur is expected to take place on a local scale.

1) Industrial uses (local scale)

The exposure and risk assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions in the industrial stages mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH⁻ discharges. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment only deals with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH⁻ discharges at the local scale and is performed by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9 (In general, most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9).

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging calcium oxide solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. Discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised. The effluent pH is normally measured and can be neutralised easily, as often required by national laws.

2) Professional uses (local scale)

The exposure and risk assessment is only relevant for the aquatic and terrestrial environment. The aquatic effect and risk assessment is determined by the pH effect. Nevertheless, the classical risk characterisation ratio (RCR), based on PEC (predicted environmental concentration) and PNEC (predicted no effect concentration) is calculated. The professional uses on a local scale refer to applications on agricultural or urban soil. The environmental exposure is assessed based on data and a modelling tool. The modelling FOCUS/ Exposit tool is used to assess terrestrial and aquatic exposure (typically conceived for biocidal applications).

Details and scaling approach indications are reported in the specific scenarios.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Methodology used for occupational exposure assessment

By definition an exposure scenario (ES) has to describe under which operational conditions (OC) and risk management measure (RMMs) the substance can be handled safely. This is demonstrated if the estimated exposure level is below the respective derived no-effect level (DNEL), which is expressed in the risk characterisation ratio (RCR).

For workers, the repeated dose DNEL for inhalation as well as the acute DNEL for inhalation are based on the respective recommendations of the scientific committee on occupational exposure limits (SCOEL) being 1 mg/m³ and 4 mg/m³, respectively.

In cases where neither measured data nor analogous data are available, occupational exposure is assessed with the aid of a modelling tool. At the first tier screening level, the MEASE tool (http://www.ebrc.de/mease.html) is used to assess inhalation exposure according to the ECHA guidance (R.14).

Since the SCOEL recommendation refers to <u>respirable dust</u> while the exposure estimates in MEASE reflect the <u>inhalable</u> fraction, an additional safety margin is inherently included in the exposure scenarios below when MEASE has been used to derive exposure estimates.

Methodology used for consumer exposure assessment

By definition an ES has to describe under which conditions the substances, preparation or articles can be handled safely. In cases where neither measured data nor analogous data are available, exposure is assessed with the aid of a modelling tool.

For consumers, the repeated dose DNEL for inhalation as well as the acute DNEL for inhalation are based on the respective recommendations of the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL), being 1 mg/m³ and 4 mg/m³, respectively.

For inhalation exposure to powders the data, derived from van Hemmen (van Hemmen, 1992: Agricultural pesticide exposure data bases for risk assessment. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol. 126: 1-85.), has been used to calculate the inhalation exposure. The inhalation exposure for consumers is estimated at 15 μ g/hr or 0.25 μ g/min. For larger tasks the inhalation exposure is expected to be higher. A factor of 10 is suggested when the product amount exceeds 2.5 kg, resulting in the inhalation exposure of 150 μ g/hr. To convert these values in mg/m³ a default value of 1.25 m³/hr for the breathing volume under light working conditions will be assumed (van Hemmen, 1992) giving 12 μ g/m³ for small tasks and 120 μ g/m³ for larger tasks.

When the preparation or substance is applied in granular form or as tablets, reduced exposure to dust was assumed. To take this into account if data about particle size distribution and attrition of the granule are lacking, the model for powder formulations is used, assuming a reduction in dust formation by 10 % according to Becks and Falks (Manual for the authorisation of pesticides. Plant protection products. Chapter 4 Human toxicology; risk operator, worker and bystander, version 1.0., 2006).

For dermal exposure and exposure to the eye a qualitative approach has been followed, as no DNEL could be derived for this route due to the irritating properties of calcium oxide. Oral exposure was not assessed as this is not a foreseeable route of exposure regarding the uses addressed.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Since the SCOEL recommendation refers to respirable dust while the exposure estimates by the model from van Hemmen reflect the inhalable fraction, an additional safety margin is inherently included in the exposure scenarios below, i.e. the exposure estimates are very conservative.

The exposure assessment of calcium oxide professional and industrial and consumer use is performed and organized based on several scenarios. An overview of the scenarios and the coverage of substance life cycle is presented in Table 1.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Table 1: Overview on exposure scenarios and coverage of substance life cycle

			lde use	ntifi es		Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.1	Manufacture and industrial uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances	х	x	x		Х	1	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.2	Manufacture and industrial uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances	x	x	x		Х	2	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.3	Manufacture and industrial uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances	x	x	x		Х	3	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

			lde use	ntifi es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use		Chemical Product	Process	Article	Environmental release category (ERC)
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified			category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	
9.4	Manufacture and industrial uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances	x	х	x		х	4	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 11a
9.5	Manufacture and industrial uses of massive objects containing lime substances	х	х	X		×	5	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	6, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.6	Professional uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances		х	х		Х	6	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

			Identified uses		ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.7	Professional uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances		x	x		х	7	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f
9.8	Professional uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances		x	x		Х	8	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f, 9a, 9b
9.9	Professional uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances		х	x		Х	9	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

			lde use	ntifi es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	ified Use		Category (PC)	Process	Article	Environmental release category (ERC)
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	0			category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	
9.10	Professional use of lime substances in soil treatment		х	х			10	22	9b	5, 8b, 11, 26		2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f
9.11	Professional uses of articles/container s containing lime substances			х		×	11	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24		0, 21, 24, 25	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	10a, 11a, 11b, 12a, 12b
9.12	Consumer use of building and construction material (DIY)				х		х	21	9b, 9a			8
9.13	Consumer use of CO ₂ absorbent in breathing apparatuses				X		X	21	2			8



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

			lde use	ntific es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified Use	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.14	Consumer use of garden lime/fertilizer				X		Х	21	20, 12			8e
9.15	Consumer use of lime substances as water treatment chemicals in aquaria				x		х	21	20, 37			8
9.16	Consumer use of cosmetics containing lime substances				Х		х	21	39			8



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.1: Manufacture and industrial uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances

Solutions of fille substances								
Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers						
1. Title								
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of a	queous solutions of lime substances						
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)						
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.							
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.						
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures							
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks						
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure							
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure							
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)							
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises							
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)							
PROC 7	Industrial spraying							
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities							
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities							
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and						
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).						
PROC 12	Use of blowing agents in manufacture of foam							
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring							
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation							
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent							
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected							
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process							
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions							
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available							
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses							
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials							



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. The spraying of aqueous solutions (PROC7 and 11) is assumed to be involved with a medium emission.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 7	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	medium
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	very low

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure				
PROC 7	≤ 240 minutes				
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)				

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Since aqueous solutions are not used in hot-metallurgical processes, operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 7	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	•
PROC 19	"Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be	not applicable	na	•
All other applicable PROCs	achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation							
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)			
PROC 7	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be			
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.			

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Amounts used

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure

Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.001 – 0.66)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A	DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

Environmental exposure

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of lime substance in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that lime substance will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of lime substance. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of lime substance can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the lime substance concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from lime substance production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure	Waste water from lime substance production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is
concentration in	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from lime substance production sites will
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
. ,	When lime substance is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be
Exposure	negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer
concentration in	capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In
aquatic pelagic	general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the
compartment	equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for lime
concentration in	substance: when lime substance is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment
sediments	particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for lime substance: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, lime substance is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised lime substance largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for lime substance: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

Environmental exposure

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

Tier 1: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the lime substance on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

Tier 2a: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[\frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{pH}}$ effluent refers to the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{pH}}$ of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.


Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the lime substance.

Tier 3: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.2: Manufacture and industrial uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
1. Title					
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of low of	dusty solids/powders of lime substances			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure				
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 6	Calendering operations				
PROC 7	Industrial spraying				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and			
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing				
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring				
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles				



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting	
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature	
PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles	
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals	
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature	
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)	
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)	
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses	
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials	

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		solid/powder	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	low

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 22	≤ 240 minutes			
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)			

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker				
PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 7, 17, 18	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	general ventilation	17 %	-
PROC 19		not applicable	na	-
PROC 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a		local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-
All other applicable PROCs		not required	na	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 22, 24, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Amounts used

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m3/day

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.83)	Since calcium oxide is a skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T not assessed in this	DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

Environmental emissions

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.		
Exposure	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is		
concentration in	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will		
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH		
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.		
	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be		
Exposure	negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer		
concentration in	capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In		
aquatic pelagic	general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the		
compartment	equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion		
	(CO32-).		
Exposure	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for		
concentration in	calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption to sediment		
sediments	particles is negligible.		



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

Tier 1: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

Tier 2a: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[\frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.


Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.

Tier 3: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.3: Manufacture and industrial uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances

	13 of fifte 3ubstances				
Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
1. Title					
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of mediur	n dusty solids/powders of lime substances			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure				
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 7	Industrial spraying				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring				
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting				
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature				



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26 Handling of solid inorganic substances at a temperature	
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		solid/powder	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	medium

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 7, 17, 18, 19, 22	≤ 240 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 1, 2, 15, 27b	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure	not required	na	-
PROC 3, 13, 14		general ventilation	17 %	-
PROC 19		not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs		local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces	
or by removing the	
involved with relevant exposure.	

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Amounts used

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.88)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised as f as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal effect has not been derived. Thus, dermal exposure in not assessed in this exposure scenario.	

Environmental emissions

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9

the surface water pH sho	the surface water pH should not increase above 9.				
Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).				
Exposure concentration in sediments	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment particles is negligible.				
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.				



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Exposure
concentration in
atmospheric
compartment

The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.

Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)

Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

Tier 1: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

Tier 2a: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \underbrace{ \frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} }_{ Eq. 1)$$

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.


Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.

Tier 3: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.4: Manufacture and industrial uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances

	301103/powder3 of fillie 30b3tariee3					
Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers						
1. Title						
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of high	dusty solids/powders of lime substances				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)					
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.				
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.				
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures					
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks				
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure					
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure					
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)					
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises					
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)					
PROC 7	Industrial spraying					
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities					
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities					
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use				
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).				
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring					
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation					
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent					
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected					
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process					
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions					
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available					
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting					
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature					



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	high

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure		
PROC 7, 8a, 17, 18, 19, 22	≤ 240 minutes		
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)		

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker					
PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 1	Any potentially required	not required	na	-	
PROC 2, 3	separation of workers	general ventilation	17 %	-	
PROC 7	from the emission source is indicated	integrated local exhaust ventilation	84 %	-	
PROC 19	above under	not applicable	na	-	
All other applicable PROCs	"Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-	

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 23, 25, 27b	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.
PROC 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 17, 18,	FFP2 mask	APF=10		
PROC 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 24, 26, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4		
PROC 19	FFP3 mask	APF=20		

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Amounts used

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.96)	Since calcium oxide is a skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T not assessed in this	as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

Environmental emissions

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is
concentration in	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
_	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be
Exposure	negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer
concentration in	capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In
aquatic pelagic	general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the
compartment	equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion
	(CO32-).
Exposure	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for
concentration in	calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment
sediments	particles is negligible.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

Tier 1: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

Tier 2a: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[\frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.


Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.

Tier 3: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.5: Manufacture and industrial uses of massive objects containing lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers			
1. Title			
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of massive objects containing lime substances		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.		
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.		
2. Operational conditions and risk management measures			
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks	
PROC 6	Calendering operations		
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation		
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles		
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and	
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use	
PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).	
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals		
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of		
EKO 17, 12	industrial uses		

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23,25	not restricted		massive objects, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		massive objects	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		massive objects	very low

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Frequency and duration of use/exposure		
PROC	Duration of exposure	
PROC 22	≤ 240 minutes	
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)	

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 6, 14, 21	Any potentially required separation of workers	not required	na	-
PROC 22, 23, 24, 25	from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation				
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 22	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Amounts used

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure

Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 6, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.44)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

Environmental emissions

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is
concentration in	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
1_	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be
Exposure	negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer
concentration in	capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In
aquatic pelagic	general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the
compartment	equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for
concentration in	calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment
sediments	particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

<u>Important note</u>: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

Environmental exposure

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

Tier 1: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

Tier 2a: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[\frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m3/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this
 can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.


Tier 3: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.6: Professional uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers				
1. Title				
Free short title	Professional uses of aqueous	s solutions of lime substances		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)			
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.		
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment is	ed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE. The s based on FOCUS-Exposit.		
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures	5		
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks		
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure			
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)			
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises			
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)			
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities			
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities			
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use		
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).		
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying			
PROC 12	Use of blowing agents in manufacture of foam			
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring			
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent			
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected			
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process			
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions			
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available			
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems	Calcium oxide is applied in numerous cases of wide dispersive uses: agricultural, forestry, fish and shrimps farming, soil treatment and environmental protection.		



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. The spraying of aqueous solutions (PROC7 and 11) is assumed to be involved with a medium emission.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
All applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	very low

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure		
PROC 11	≤ 240 minutes		
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)		

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Since aqueous solutions are not used in hot-metallurgical processes, operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 19	Separation of workers from the emission source is generally not required in the conducted processes.	not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs		not required	na	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation				
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 11	FFP3 mask	APF=20	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
PROC 17	FFP1 mask	APF=4		potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process).
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na		Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure - only relevant for agricultural soil protection

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

	used

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year); Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha CaO is not exceeded

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m²

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime; Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha (CaO) is not exceeded

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (<0.001 – 0.6)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR	
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015	
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3– to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.				
Exposure	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	in the environment. The ι	This point is not relevant because calcium oxides can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca ²⁺ and OH) in the environment.			



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

where parameters such a	as drifts can be improved a	according to collected data	d.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	environment. The uses of	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca ²⁺ and OH ⁻) in the environment.			

Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
 protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

<u>Important note</u>: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.7: Professional uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances

	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers			
1. Title					
Free short title	Professional uses of low dusty so	olids/powders of lime substances			
	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU17				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC34, PC35, PC36, AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6	SU24 PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC37, PC39, PC40 6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 s are given in Section 2 below)			
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE. The environmental assessment is based on FOCUS-Exposit.				
2. Operational cond	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)				
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and			
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use			
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles				
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals				
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature				
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d,	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open				



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

systems

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Use in preparation Content in preparation		Emission potential
PROC 25	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	not restricted		low

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 17	≤ 240 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 19	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not applicable	na	-	
All other applicable PROCs		A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant	A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant	not required	na



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 4, 5, 11, 26	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection
PROC 16, 17, 18, 25	FFP2 mask	APF=10		equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Amounts used	

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year) Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m²

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.75)	Since calcium oxide is a skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T not assessed in this	as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR	
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015	
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.				
Exposure	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		because calcium can be c overed do not significantly ent.			



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

	where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.				
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca ²⁺ and OH) in the environment.				

Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
 protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.8: Professional uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers	
1. Title			
Free short title	Professional uses of medium dusty	solids/powders of lime substances	
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40		
	AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.	
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment i		
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures		
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks	
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure		
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)		
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises		
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)		
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities		
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities		
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA	
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	Guidance on information requirements and	
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).	
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent		
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected		
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process		
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions		
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available		
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals		
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature		
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems		



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 25	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	solid/powder	medium

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 11, 16, 17, 18, 19	≤ 240 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 11, 16	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission	generic local exhaust ventilation	72 %	-
PROC 17, 18	source is indicated above under	integrated local exhaust ventilation	87 %	-
PROC 19	"Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure	not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs	duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 2, 3, 16, 19	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection equipment (e.g.
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 17, 18, 25, 26	FFP2 mask	APF=10	Since calcium oxide is	goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with
PROC 11	FFP1 mask	APF=10		
PROC 15	not required	na	classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Amounts used	
CaO	1.700 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year) Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m²

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.25 – 0.825)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

Environmental emissions	See amounts used	aras surrase waters, via a		
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultur	ral soil protection		
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.			
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		because calcium can be c overed do not significantly ent.		



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

where parameters such a	as units can be improved a	according to collected dat	a.	
Environmental emissions	See amounts used	See amounts used		
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario			
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road bord	der scenario		
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.			
Exposure concentration relevant for the food			considered to be omnipreser y influence the distribution of	

Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.9: Professional uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers	
1. Title			
Free short title	Professional uses of high dusty s	olids/powders of lime substances	
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40		
	AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.	
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment i		
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures		
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks	
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure		
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)		
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises		
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)		
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities		
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities		
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA	
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	Guidance on information requirements and	
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).	
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent		
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected		
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process		
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions		
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available		
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals		
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature		
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems		



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
All applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	high

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 26	≤ 240 minutes
PROC 11	≤ 60 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 11, 16, 26	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission	generic local exhaust ventilation	72 %	-	
PROC 17, 18	source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	source is indicated above under	integrated local exhaust ventilation	87 %	-
PROC 19		not applicable	na	only in well ventilated rooms or outdoors (efficiency 50 %)-	
All other applicable PROCs		duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant	not required	na	-



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 9, 26	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be
PROC 11, 17, 18, 19	FFP3 mask	APF=20		
PROC 25	FFP2 mask	APF=10		
All other applicable PROCs	FFP2 mask	APF=10	skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)





prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

	nts	

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year). Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m2

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.5 – 0.825)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised as fa as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal effect has not been derived. Thus, dermal exposure is not assessed in this exposure scenario.	

Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR	
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015	
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		because calcium can be c overed do not significantly ent.			



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

where parameters such a	as units can be improved a	according to conected date	a.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		overed do not significantly	considered to be omnipresen y influence the distribution of		

Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
 protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.10: Professional use of lime substances in soil treatment

Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	ıt by workers		
1. Title				
Free short title	Professional use of lime su	ubstances in soil treatment		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU (appropriate PROCs and ERCs	J22 s are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on measured data and on the exposure estimation tool MEASE. The environmental assessment is based on FOCUS-Exposit.			
2. Operational cond	ditions and risk management measures			
Task/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks		
Milling	PROC 5			
Loading of spreader	PROC 8b, PROC 26	Preparation and use of calcium oxides for soil treatment.		
Application to soil (spreading)	PROC 11	- treatment.		
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems	Calcium oxide is applied in numerous cases of wide dispersive uses: agricultural, forestry, fish and shrimps farming, soil treatment and environmental protection.		

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

Task	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
Milling	not restricted		solid/powder	high
Loading of spreader	not restricted		solid/powder	high
Application to soil (spreading)	not res	not restricted		high

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

Frequency and duration of use/exposure

Task	Duration of exposure				
Milling	240 minutes				
Loading of spreader	240 minutes				
Application to soil (spreading)	480 minutes (not restricted)				

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

Task	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC	Further information
Milling	Separation of workers is generally not	not required	na	-
Loading of spreader	required in the conducted processes.	not required	na	-
Application to soil (spreading)	During application the worker is sitting in the cabin of the spreader	Cabin with filtered air supply	99%	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

Task	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
Milling	FFP3 mask	APF=20	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
Loading of spreader	FFP3 mask	APF=20		potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process).
Application to soil (spreading)	not required	na		Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010


Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year). Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha (CaO) is not exceeded

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m²

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010


Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

Product characteristics

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

Amounts used

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

Measured data and modelled exposure estimates (MEASE) were used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust).

Task	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
Milling	MEASE	0.488 mg/m³ (0.48)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised a as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal eff has not been derived. Thus, dermal exposur not assessed in this exposure scenario.	
Loading of spreader	MEASE (PROC 8b)	0.488 mg/m³ (0.48)		
Application to soil (spreading)	measured data	0.880 mg/m³ (0.88)		

Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

the 3011, calcium oxide ca	an indeed migrate then tow	ards surface waters, via di	1111.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR	
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015	
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant environment. The uses count of the environment of the environm	overed do not significantly	onsidered to be omniprese influence the distribution o	ent and essential in the of the constituents (Ca ²⁺	



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

where parameters such a	as units can be improved a	iccording to conected data	a.			
Environmental emissions	See amounts used	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario					
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario					
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario					
Exposure	Substance	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	CaO 529 816 0.65				
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 ⁻⁵ Pa.					
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		overed do not significantly	considered to be omnipresen y influence the distribution of			

Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.11: Professional uses of articles/containers containing lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
-	Format (1) addressing uses carried of	it by workers			
1. Title					
Free short title	Professional uses of articles/containers containing lime substances				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.				
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.				
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures	6			
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC/ERC PROC 0	REACH definition Other process (PROC 21 (low emission potential) as proxy for exposure estimation)	Use of containers containing calcium oxide/preparations as CO ₂ absorbents (e.g. breathing apparatus)			
	Other process (PROC 21 (low emission potential) as proxy for exposure estimation) Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles	Use of containers containing calcium oxide/preparations as CO ₂ absorbents (e.g.			
PROC 0	Other process (PROC 21 (low emission potential) as proxy for exposure estimation) Low energy manipulation of substances bound in	Use of containers containing calcium oxide/preparations as CO ₂ absorbents (e.g. breathing apparatus) Handling of substances bound in materials and/or			
PROC 0	Other process (PROC 21 (low emission potential) as proxy for exposure estimation) Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances	Use of containers containing calcium oxide/preparations as CO ₂ absorbents (e.g. breathing apparatus) Handling of substances bound in materials and/or articles			

2.1 Control of workers exposure

Product characteristic

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 0	not restricted		massive objects (pellets), low potential for dust formation due to abrasion during previous filling and handling activities of pellets, not during use of breathing apparatus	low (worst case assumption as no inhalation exposure is assumed during the use of the breathing apparatus due to the very low abrasive potential)
PROC 21	not res	stricted	massive objects	very low
PROC 24, 25	not res	stricted	massive objects	high

Amounts used

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Frequency and duration of use/exposure				
PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 0	480 minutes (not restricted as far as occupational exposure to calcium oxide is concerned, the actual wearing duration may be restricted due the user instructions of the actual breathing apparatus)			
PROC 21	480 minutes (not restricted)			
PROC 24, 25	≤ 240 minutes			

Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 0, 21, 24, 25	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-

Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation					
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)	
PROC 0, 21	not required	na		Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must	
PROC 24, 25	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.	

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Product characteristics

Lime is chemically bound into/onto a matrix with very low release potential

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

Occupational exposure

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m3 (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)	
PROC 0	MEASE (PROC 21)	0.5 mg/m³ (0.5)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to		
PROC 21	MEASE	0.05 mg/m³ (0.05)	skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised a as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal eff		
PROC 24	MEASE	0.825 mg/m³ (0.825)			
PROC 25	MEASE	0.6 mg/m³ (0.6)			

Environmental exposure

Lime is an ingredient and is chemically bound into a matrix: there is no intended release of lime during normal and reasonable foreseeable conditions of use. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL_{inhalation}: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.12: Consumer use of building and construction material (DIY – do it yourself)

Exposure Scenario	Forma	t (2) add	roccino	uses carried out hy	/ concum/	ore	
1. Title	TOTTIIA	(Z) auul	essing	uses carried out by	CONSUM	3	
Free short title			Canau	mar use of building and	oonotruotios	a material	
			Consu	Consumer use of building and construction material			
Systematic title based on use descriptor				PC9a, PC9b, ERC8c, E			
Processes, tasks acti	vities co	overed		ng (mixing and filling) of ation of liquid, pasty lime			
			Humar	n health:			dermal exposure as well
Assessment Method*			as exp	osure to the eye. Inhala model (van Hemmen, 19 nment: A qualitative just	tion exposu 992).	re to dust has bee	en assessed by the
2. Operational cor	ndition	s and r					
RMM				ated risk management n		e in place.	
PC/ERC		Descript categorie	ion of ac	ctivity referring to artic	le categori	es (AC) and env	ironmental release
PC 9a, 9b		Mixing ar Application Post-app	nd loading on of lime lication e	g of powder containing I e plaster, putty or slurry exposure.	to the walls	or ceiling.	
ERC 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f		Wide disp	persive o persive o	ndoor use resulting in industrian utdoor use of processing utdoor use of reactive suddoor use resulting in it	g aids in op ubstances i	en systems n open systems	
2.1 Control of consumers exposure							
Product characteristic							
Description of the		entration	of the	Physical state of	Dustines	ss (if relevant)	Packaging design
preparation		tance in th	ne	the preparation			
Lime substance	100 %	0		Solid, powder	High, me	dium and low,	Bulk in bags of up to
Plaster, Mortar	20-40%			Solid, powder	lime subs	e value from sheet see	35 kg.
Plaster, Mortar	20-40	%		Pasty	-		-
Putty, filler	30-55			Pasty, highly viscous, thick liquid	-		In tubes or buckets
Pre-mixed lime wash	~30%	1		Solid, powder	High - lov	N	Bulk in bags of up to
paint				71	(indicativ	e value from sheet see	35 kg.
Lime wash paint/milk of lime preparation	~ 30 9	%		Milk of lime preparation	-	,	-
Amounts used		A	4	an avant			
Description of the preparation		Amour	ıt usea p	per event			
Filler, putty 250 g – Difficult			- 1 kg powder (2:1 powder water) t to determine, because the amount is heavily dependent on the depth and size of the o be filled.				
Plaster/lime wash paint			g depending on the size of the room, wall to be treated.				
Floor/wall equalizer				ing on the size of the ro			
Frequency and duration	on of us						
Description of task			Duration	on of exposure per eve	ent	frequency of e	vents
Mixing and loading of lin	me conta	aining	1.33 m	in (DIY1-fact sheet, RIVI	M,		
powder. Application of lime plast	or nutt	vor		Chapter 2.4.2 Mixing and loading of powders) 2/year (DIY ¹ fact sheet)			
slurry to the walls or cei		UI	Severa	Il minutes - hours		2/year (DIY ¹ fac	ct sheet)



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Human factors not influenced by risk management					
Description of the task	Population exposed	Corresponding skin area [cm²]			
Handling of powder	Adult	1.25 m³/hr	Half of both hands	430 (DIY1 fact sheet)	
Application of liquid, pasty lime preparations.	Adult	NR	Hands and forearms	1900 (DIY ¹ fact sheet)	

Other given operational conditions affecting consumers exposure

Description of the task	Indoor/outdoor	Room volume	Air exchange rate
Handling of powder	indoor	1 m³ (personal space, small	0.6 hr ⁻¹ (unspecified room)
		area around the user)	
Application of liquid, pasty lime	indoor	NR	NR
preparations.			

Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

In order to avoid health damage DIYers should comply with the same strict protective measures which apply to professional workplaces:

- Change wet clothing, shoes and gloves immediately.
- Protect uncovered areas of skin (arms, legs, face): there are various effective skin protection products which should be used in accordance with a skin protection plan (skin protection, cleansing and care). Cleanse the skin thoroughly after the work and apply a care product.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

In order to avoid health damage DIYers should comply with the same strict protective measures which apply to professional workplaces:

- When preparing or mixing building materials, during demolition or caulking and, above all, during overhead work, wear
 protective goggles as well as face masks during dusty work.
- Choose work gloves carefully. Leather gloves become wet and can facilitate burns. When working in a wet
 environment, cotton gloves with plastic covering (nitrile) are better. Wear gauntlet gloves during overhead work
 because they can considerably reduce the amount of humidity which permeates the working clothes.

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Product characteristics

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Amounts used*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

Direct discharge to the wastewater is avoided.

Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since limes are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Human exposure		
Handling of powder	r	
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	small task: 0.1 μg/cm² (-) large task: 1 μg/cm² (-)	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from loading of lime substances or direct contact to the lime cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during application. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by prompt rinsing with water. Quantitative assessment The constant rate model of ConsExpo has been used. The contact rate to dust formed while pouring powder has been taken from the DIY¹-fact sheet (RIVM report 320104007).
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. Dust from loading of the lime substances cannot be excluded if no protective goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.
Inhalation	Small task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003) Large task: 120 μg/m³ (0.03)	Quantitative assessment Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above).
Application of liquid	d, pasty lime preparations	S.
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	Splashes	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, splashes on the skin cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during the application. Splashes may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by immediate rinsing of the hands with water.
Eye	Splashes	Qualitative assessment If appropriate goggles are worn no exposure to the eyes needs to be expected. However, splashes into the eyes cannot be excluded if no protective goggles are worn during the application of liquid or pasty lime preparations, especially during overhead work. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.
Inhalation	-	Qualitative assessment Not expected, as the vapour pressure of limes in water is low and generation of mists or aerosols does not take place.

Post-application exposure

No relevant exposure will be assumed as the aqueous lime preparation will quickly convert to calcium carbonate with carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

Environmental exposure

Referring to the OC/RMMs related to the environment to avoid discharging lime solutions directly into municipal wastewater, the pH of the influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is circum-neutral and therefore, there is no exposure to the biological activity. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.13: Consumer use of CO_2 absorbent in breathing apparatuses

	apparatuses								
Exposure S	cenario F	Format (2	e) addı	ressing	uses carried of	out by	consume	ers	
1. Title									
Free short tit	le				Consumer use	of CO ₂ a	absorbent i	in breathing appa	aratuses
Systematic ti	tle based	on use de	scripto	r	SU21, PC2 , ERC8b				
Processes, ta	sks activ	ities cove	red		Filling of the for				
					Use of closed c		eathing ap	paratuses	
					Cleaning of equ	uipment			
Assessment	Method*				Human health				
									oral and dermal exposure.
					The inhalation e	exposure	e has beer	assessed by the	e Dutch model (van
					Hemmen, 1992 Environment).			
					A qualitative jus	etification	n accacem	ent is provided	
2 Operati	onal oc	ndition	o on	d rick				ent is provided.	
					manageme				4.400/\\
RMM									4-18%) is added which
		with CO ₂ to				uring the	e breaming	cycle calcium d	ihydroxide will be quickly
PC/ERC	Descript	ion of act	ivity re	forring to	naic. Narticle categoi	rios (AC	and env	ironmental rele	ase categories (ERC)
PC/ERC									ne as CO ₂ absorbent. The
102					sorbent and CO ₂				
	hydroxide	e) with the	calciun	n dihvdro	xide to form the	carbona	te. The CC	₂ -free air can be	re-breathed again, after
		of oxygen.		,					. 3 . ,
	Handling	of the abs						use and refilled	before each dive.
ERC 8b	Wide disp	persive ind	loor use	e resultin	g in inclusion into	or onto	a matrix		
2.1 Control of consumers exposure									
Product char									
Description of	f the	Concent	tration	of the	Physical state	of	Dustines	ss (if relevant)	Packaging design
preparation		substan	ce in th	ne	the preparatio	n			
		prepara							
CO ₂ absorben	t	78 - 84%			Solid, granular		Very low dustiness (reduction by 10 %		4.5, 18 kg canister
		Dependi							
		application the main component has					d to powder) nation cannot		
		different additives.					out during the		
		A specifi						the scrubber	
		water is					cartridge		
		(14-18%							
"Used" CO2 al	osorbent	~ 20%			Solid, granular		Very low dustiness		1-3 kg in breathing
					-		(reductio	n by 10 %	apparatus
							compare	d to powder)	
Amounts use					101 : ::		11 1 6:		
CO ₂ -Absorber					1-3 kg dependir	ng on the	e kind of b	reathing apparat	us
Prequency are Description of	of the tack	ii oi use/e	xposul		on of exposure	ner eve	nt	frequency of	ovente
Filling of the fo					on of exposure per event 33 min per filling, in sum < 15 mir			Frequency of events Before each dive (up to 4 times)	
cartridge	,,,,,uiatiol1			Oa. 1.3	o min per ming,	Julii `	oelole each div		vo (up to + times)
Use of closed	circuit brea	athing		1-2 h	1-2 h			Up to 4 dives a day	
apparatus		9					op to 4 dives a day		
Cleaning and emptying of equipment < 15 m			nin After			After each dive	ter each dive (up to 4 times)		
Human factors not influenced by risk management									
Description of task	of the	Populati	on exp	osed	Breathing rate	•	Exposed	d body part	Corresponding skin area [cm²]
Filling of the		adult		1.25 m³/hr (ligh		hands		840	
formulation int	o the			working activity	')			(REACH guidance	
cartridge								R.15, men)	
Use of closed						-		-	
breathing app								1010	
Cleaning and	emptying						hands		840
of equipment									(REACH guidance
Other given o	norations	Londitio	ne offo	cting co	onsumers expos	curo			R.15, men)
Description of				or/outdo			volume	A:	r exchange rate
Description C	, the task		IIIuot	oatu	∵.	NOOHI	· Jiuille	All	ononange rate



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Filling of the formulation into the	NR	NR	NR
cartridge			
Use of closed circuit breathing	-	-	-
apparatus			
Cleaning and emptying of	NR	NR	NR
equipment			

Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. Do not breathe dust

Keep container tightly closed as to avoid the soda lime to dry out.

Keep out of reach of children.

Wash thoroughly after handling.

In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

Do not mix with acids.

Carefully read the instructions of the breathing apparatus to assure a proper use of the breathing apparatus.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Wear suitable gloves, goggles and protective clothes during handling. Use a filtering half mask (mask type FFP2 acc. to EN 149).

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Product characteristics

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Amounts used*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin, and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

Due to the very specialised kind of consumers (divers filling their own CO₂ scrubber) it can be assumed that instructions will be taken into account to reduce exposure

Human exposure	·					
Filling of the formul	ation into the cartridge					
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments				
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment				
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.				
Dermal	-	Qualitative assessment				
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human				
		exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from				
		loading of granular soda lime or direct contact to the granules				
		cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during				
		application. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily				
		avoided by prompt rinsing with water.				
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment				
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human				
		exposure is expected. Dust from loading of the granular soda lime				
		is expected to be minimal, therefore eye exposure will be minimal				
		even without protective goggles. Nevertheless, prompt rinsing with				
		water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is				
		advisable.				
Inhalation	Small task: 1.2 μg/m³ (3 × 10 ⁻⁴)	Quantitative assessment				
	Large task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using				
		the dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section				
		9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the				
		granular form.				



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

5 / /	t breathing apparatus	
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	-	Qualitative assessment
		Due to the product characteristics, it can be concluded that derma
		exposure to the absorbent in breathing apparatuses is non-
		existent.
Eye	-	Qualitative assessment
		Due to the product characteristics, it can be concluded that eye
		exposure to the absorbent in breathing apparatuses is non-
		existent.
Inhalation	negligible	Qualitative assessment
		Instructional advice is provided to remove any dust before
		finishing the assembly of the scrubber. Divers filling their own CO ₂
		scrubber represent a specific subpopulation within consumers.
		Proper use of equipment and materials is in their own interest;
		hence it can be assumed that instructions will be taken into
		account.
		Due to the product characteristics and the instructional advices
		given, it can be concluded that inhalation exposure to the
		absorbent during the use of the breathing apparatus is negligible.
Cleaning and empty	ring of equipment	
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	Dust and splashes	Qualitative assessment
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from
		emptying granular soda lime or direct contact to the granules
		cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during
		cleaning. Furthermore, during the cleaning of the cartridge with
		water contact to moistened soda lime may occur. This may
		occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by immediate
		rinsing of with water.
Eye	Dust and splashes	Qualitative assessment
•	·	If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, contact to dust from emptying
		granular soda limes or during the cleaning of the cartridge with
		water contact to moisten soda limes may occur in very rare
		occasions. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice
		after accidental exposure is advisable.
Inhalation	Small task: 0.3 μg/m³ (7.5 × 10 ⁻⁵)	Quantitative assessment
**********	Large task: 3 µg/m³ (7.5 × 10 ⁻⁴)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using
		the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section
		9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the
		granular form and a factor of 4 to account for the reduced amount
	1	of lime in the "used" absorbent.

Environmental exposure

The pH impact due to use of lime in breathing apparatuses is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.14: Consumer use of garden lime/fertilizer

Exposure Scenario Format (2) addressing uses carried out by consumers									
	Forma	it (2) add	ressing	uses carried	out by	consum	ers		
1. Title									
Free short title				Consumer use	of garde	en lime/fert	ilizer		
Systematic title based	on use	descript	or	SU21, PC20, PC12, ERC8e					
Processes, tasks activ				Manual applic			e. fertilizer		
Assessment Method*			Post-application	on expos		, rorum201			
Assessment Method [*]				Human health		nt has has	n norforma	l for a	oral and darmal avacaura
									oral and dermal exposure
				as well as for the exposure to the eye. The dust exposure has been assessed by the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992).					
			Environment	ile Dutcii	model (va	ii i iciiiiicii,	1332	.).	
				etificatio	n assessm	ent is nrovid	hal		
A qualitative justification assessment is 2. Operational conditions and risk management measures					icht io provid	icu.			
RMM	Iditio			ated risk manag			re in place		
PC/ERC								d env	vironmental release
I O/LIKO			es (ERC		to artic	ie categor	ics (AO) air	u ciiv	in Orinine intai Telease
PC 20					ime by s	hovel/hand	(worst case	e) and	d soil incorporation.
				exposure to play			,	,	
PC 12		Surface	spreading	of the garden	ime by s	hovel/ han	d (worst cas	e) an	d soil incorporation.
		Post-apr	lication e	exposure to play	ing child	ren.	,	,	1
ERC 8e		Wide dis	persive o	outdoor use of re	active su	ubstances i	in open syst	ems	
2.1 Control of con	sume								
Product characteristic									
Description of the		entration	of the	Physical stat	e of	Dustines	ss (if releva	nt)	Packaging design
preparation		tance in t		the preparati		Dustino	33 (11 101040	,	T dokaging design
propuration	preparation			the propulati					
Garden lime	100 %		Solid, powder		High dus	ustv		Bulk in bags or	
	.00 /			l light date		,		containers of 5, 10 and	
								25 kg	
Fertilizer	Up to	20 %		Solid, granular Low du		Low dust	ty		Bulk in bags or
		-				•		containers of 5, 10 and	
									25 kg
Amounts used									
Description of the prep	oaratio	n		Amount used	Amount used per event Source of information				
Garden lime				100g /m² (up to 200g/m²)			Information and direction of use		
Fertilizer				100g /m² (up t) Inform	mation and direction of use	
Frequency and duration	n of us	se/exposi	ire						
Description of the task		•		on of exposure	per eve	nt	frequency	of e	vents
Manual application				s-hours			1 tasks pe		
11				ding on the size	of the tr	eated		,	
			area	_					
Post-application				ddlers playing o		EPA			to 7 days after
				ure factors hand	book)		application	<u> </u>	
Human factors not infl									
Description of the	Popu	ılation ex	posed	Breathing rat	te	Exposed	d body part		Corresponding skin
task									area [cm²]
Manual application	Adult			1.25 m³/hr Hands ar		nd forearms		1900 (DIY fact sheet)	
Post-application		/Toddlers		NR		NR			NR
Other given operation									
Description of the task	(or/outdo	or		volume			exchange rate
Manual application outdoor 1 m³ (personal space, small NR									
area around the user)									
Post-application outdoor NR NR									
Conditions and measu									
Do not get in eyes, on s					se a filtei	ring half ma	ask (mask ty	pe F	FP2 acc. to EN 149).
Keep container closed a									
In case of contact with e			liately wit	h plenty of wate	r and se	ek medical	advice.		
Wash thoroughly after h									
Do not mix with acids ar									
Incorporation of the garden lime or fertilizer into the soil with subsequent watering will facilitate the effect.									
Conditions and measu					hygiene				
Wear suitable gloves, go	oggles a	and proted	tion cloth	nes.					



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ironmental exposur	e	
3		
se estimate based on data	from dust measurements	in air as a function of the distance from application)
Ca(OH)2 CaO CaO.MgO Ca(OH)2.Mg(OH)2 CaCO3.MgO Ca(OH)2.MgO Natural hydraulic lime	2,244 kg/ha 1,700 kg/ha 1,478 kg/ha 2,030 kg/ha 2,149 kg/ha 1,774 kg/ha 2,420 kg/ha	In professional agricultural soil protection, it is recommended not to exceed 1700 kg CaO/ha or the corresponding amount of 2244 kg Ca(OH) ₂ /ha. This rate is three times the amount needed to compensate the annual losses of lime by leaching. For this reason, the value of 1700 kg CaO/ha or the corresponding amount of 2244 kg Ca(OH) ₂ /ha is used in this dossier as the basis for the risk assessment. The amount used for the other lime variants can be calculated based on their composition and the molecular weight.
	Ca(OH)2 CaO CaO.MgO Ca(OH)2.Mg(OH)2 CaCO3.MgO Ca(OH)2.MgO Ca(OH)2.MgO	Ca(OH)2 2,244 kg/ha CaO 1,700 kg/ha CaO.MgO 1,478 kg/ha Ca(OH)2.Mg(OH)2 2,030 kg/ha CaCO3.MgO 2,149 kg/ha Ca(OH)2.MgO 1,774 kg/ha

Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year); Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the long-term DNEL for lime substances of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

Human exposi	ure	
Manual applica	ation	
Route of	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
exposure		
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	Dust, powder	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from application of lime substances or by direct contact to the limes cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during application. Due to the relatively long application time, skin irritation would be expected. This can easily be avoided by immediate rinsing with water. It would be assumed that consumers who had
		experience of skin irritation will protect themselves. Therefore, any occurring skin irritation, which will be reversible, can be assumed to be non-recurring.
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. Dust from surfacing with lime cannot be excluded if no protective goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Inhalation (garden lime)	Small task: 12 μg/m³ (0.0012) Large task: 120 μg/m³ (0.012)	Quantitative assessment No model describing the application of powders by shovel/hand is available, therefore, read-across from the dust formation model while pouring powders has been used as a worst case. Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above).
Inhalation (fertilizer)	Small task: 0.24 μg/m³ (2.4 * 10 ⁻⁴) Large task: 2.4 μg/m³ (0.0024)	Quantitative assessment No model describing the application of powders by shovel/hand is available, therefore, read across from the dust formation model while pouring powders has been used as a worst case. Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the granular form and a factor of 5 to account for the reduced amount of limes in fertilizer.

Post-application

According to the PSD (UK Pesticide Safety Directorate, now called CRD) post-application exposure need to be addressed for products which are applied in parks or amateur products used to treat lawns and plants grown in private gardens. In this case exposure of children, who may have access to these areas soon after treatment, needs to be assessed. The US EPA model predicts the post-application exposure to products used in private gardens (e.g. lawns) by toddlers crawling on the treated area and also via the oral route through hand-to-mouth activities.

Garden lime or fertilizer including lime is used to treat acidic soil. Therefore, after application to the soil and subsequent watering the hazard driving effect of lime (alkalinity) will be quickly neutralized. Exposure to lime substances will be negligible within a short time after application.

Environmental exposure

No quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried out because the operational conditions and risk management measures for consumer use are less stringent than those outlined for professional agricultural soil protection. Moreover, the neutralisation/pH-effect is the intended and desired effect in the soil compartment. Releases to wastewater are not expected.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.15: Consumer use of lime substances as water treatment chemicals

Exposure Scenario Format (2) addressing uses carried out by consumers								
1. Title								
Free short title				Consumer use of lime substances as water treatment chemicals				
Systematic title based	on use	descripto	r	SU21, PC20, PC37, ERC8b				
Processes, tasks activities covered			Loading, filling or re-filling of solid formulations into container/preparation of lime milk Application of lime milk to water					
Assessment Method*			Human health: A qualitative assessment has been performed for oral and dermal exposure as well as for exposure of the eye. Dust exposure has been assessed by the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992). Environment: A qualitative justification assessment is provided.					
2. Operational conditions and risk management measures								
RMM				t integrated risk manager				
PC/ERC		Descripti categorie	on of ac	ctivity referring to articl	e categor	ies (AC) and env	ironmental release	
PC 20/37		Transfer of	of lime s	g (transfer of lime substa ubstances (solid) into cor ion of lime milk to water.				
ERC 8b		Wide disr	ersive in	ndoor use of reactive sub	stances in	open systems		
2.1 Control of co	nsur					,		
Product characteristic			- 6 41	Discrete de de de	D 11	(# 1 4)	I De alexado e de de	
Description of the preparation	Concentration of the substance in the preparation			Physical state of the preparation	Dustine	ss (if relevant)	Packaging design	
Water treatment chemical	Up to 100 %			Solid, fine powder	high dustiness (indicative value from DIY fact sheet see section 9.0.3)		Bulk in bags or buckets/containers.	
Water treatment chemical	Up to 99 %			Solid, granular of different size (D50 value 0.7 D50 value 1.75 D50 value 3.08)	low dustiness (reduction by 10% compared to powder)		Bulk-tank lorry or in "Big Bags" or in sacks	
Amounts used								
Description of the prep				Amount used per ever				
Water treatment chemica aquaria				depending on the size of the water reactor to be filled (~ 100g /L)				
Water treatment chemica drinking water			or	depending on the size of the water reactor to be filled (~up to 1.2 kg/L)				
Lime milk for further app	lication	,		~ 20 g / 5L				
Frequency and duratio	n of us	se/exposur		an of avacaus	-4	funnium se s f :	vente	
Preparation of lime milk (loading, filling and refilling) 1.33 m (DIY-fa		1.33 m (DIY-fa	on of exposure per ever in act sheet, RIVM, Chapter and loading of powders)		frequency of e 1 task/month 1task/week	vents		
		Severa	ll minutes - hours		1 tasks/ month	nonth		
Human factors not influenced by risk management								
Description of the task	Population exposed		osed	Breathing rate	·	ed body part	Corresponding skin area [cm²]	
Preparation of lime milk (loading, filling and refilling)	adult			1.25 m³/hr		both hands	430 (RIVM report 320104007)	
Dropwise application of lime milk to water			NR	Hands		860 (RIVM report 320104007)		
Other given operationa								
Description of the task Indoor/outdoor Room volume Air exchange rate								



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Preparation of lime milk (loading,	Indoor/outdoor	1 m³ (personal space, small	0.6 hr ⁻¹ (unspecified room
filling and refilling)		area around the user)	indoor)
Dropwise application of lime milk	indoor	NR	NR
to water			

Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. Do not breathe dust

Keep container closed and out of reach of children.

Use only with adequate ventilation.

In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

Wash thoroughly after handling.

Do not mix with acids and always add limes to water and not water to limes.

Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Wear suitable gloves, goggles and protective clothes. Use a filtering half mask (mask type FFP2 acc. to EN 149).

2.2 Control of environmental exposure

Product characteristics

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Amounts used*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

Human e	xposure
---------	---------

Preparation of lime milk (loading)			
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments	
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment	
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.	
Dermal (powder)	small task: 0.1 µg/cm² (-)	Qualitative assessment	
	large task: 1 μg/cm² (-)	If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human	
		exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from	
		loading of limes or direct contact to the lime cannot be excluded if	
		no protective gloves are worn during application. This may	
		occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by prompt rinsing with water.	
		Quantitative assessment	
		The constant rate model of ConsExpo has been used. The contact	
		rate to dust formed while pouring powder has been taken from the	
		DIY-fact sheet (RIVM report 320104007). For granules the	
		exposure estimate will be even lower.	
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment	
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human	
		exposure is expected. Dust from loading of the limes cannot be	
		excluded if no protective goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with	
		water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.	
Inhalation (powder)	Small task: 12 µg/m³ (0.003)	Quantitative assessment	
initialation (powder)	Large task: 120 µg/m³ (0.003)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using	
	Large task. 120 µg/m (0.00)	the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section	
		9.0.3.1 above).	



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

Inhalation	Small task: 1.2 µg/m³ (0.0003)	Quantitative assessment
(granules)	Large task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992 as described in section
		9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the
		granular form.
Dropwise application	of lime milk to water	
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	Droplets or splashes	Qualitative assessment
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, splashes on the skin cannot be
		excluded if no protective gloves are worn during application.
		Splashes may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided
		by immediate rinsing of the hands in water.
Eye	Droplets or splashes	Qualitative assessment
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, splashes into the eyes cannot be
		excluded if no protective goggles are worn during the application.
		However, it is rare for eye irritation to occur as a result of
		exposure to a clear solution of calcium hydroxide (lime water) and
		mild irritation can easily be avoided by immediate rinsing of the
		eyes with water.
Inhalation Environmental expo	-	Qualitative assessment
		Not expected, as the vapour pressure of limes in water is low and
		generation of mists or aerosols does not take place.

Environmental exposure

The pH impact due to use of lime in cosmetics is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.



prepared in accordance with Annex II of the REACH Regulation EC 1907/2006, Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 and Regulation (EC) 453/2010

Version: 1.1 EN

Revision date: May / 2014 Printing Date: May / 2014

ES number 9.15: Consumer use of cosmetics containing lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (2) addressing uses carried out by consumers				
1. Title	g uses carried out by consumers			
Free short title	Consumer use of cosmetics containing limes			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU21, PC39 , ERC8a			
Processes, tasks activities covered	-			
Assessment Method*	Human health: According to Article 14(5) (b) of regulation (EC) 1907/2006 risks to human health need not be considered for substances included in cosmetic products within the scope of Directive 76/768/EC. Environment A qualitative justification assessment is provided.			
2. Operational conditions and risk ma	anagement measures			
ERC 8a Wide dispersive	indoor use of processing aids in open systems			
2.1 Control of consumers exposure				
Product characteristic				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this	s use does not need to be considered.			
Amounts used				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this	s use does not need to be considered.			
Frequency and duration of use/exposure				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.				
Human factors not influenced by risk management				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.				
Other given operational conditions affecting consumers exposure				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.				
Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers				
Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.				
Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.				
2.2 Control of environmental exposure Product characteristics				
Not relevant for exposure assessment				
Amounts used*				
Not relevant for exposure assessment				
Frequency and duration of use				
Not relevant for exposure assessment				
Environment factors not influenced by risk management				
Default river flow and dilution				
Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure				
Indoor				
Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant				
Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique				
Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal				
Not relevant for exposure assessment Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste				
Not relevant for exposure assessment				
3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source				

Human exposure to cosmetics will be addressed by other legislation and therefore need not be addressed under regulation (EC) 1907/2006 according to Article 14(5) (b) of this regulation.

Environmental exposure

The pH impact due to use of lime in cosmetics is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.

End of the safety data sheet